LYCOPERSICONOLIDE, A STEROID LACTONE FROM TOMATO ROOTS

TOSHINORI NAGAOKA, TERUHIKO YOSHIHARA* and SADAO SAKAMURA

Department of Agricultural Chemistry, Faculty of Agriculture, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060, Japan

(Revised received 3 December 1986)

Key Word Index—Lycopersicon sp.; Solanaceae; roots; steroid lactone; lycopersiconolide; ¹H NMR; ¹³C NMR.

Abstract—Lycopersiconolide has been characterized as (20S)- 3β , 16β , 20-trihydroxy- 5α -pregnane-20-carboxylic acid 22, 16-lactone.

INTRODUCTION

From roots of the tomato Taibyo Shinko No. 1 (Lycopersicon esculentum × L. hirsutum, hybrid, Takii Co. Ltd.) a new steroid lactone, lycopersiconolide (1), was isolated as colourless needles.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The 13CNMR spectrum of 1 contained signals for three methyls, eight methylenes, seven methines and four quaternary carbons. The chemical shift values of the carbon atoms of rings A, B and C of compound 1 (Table 1) and tomatidine (4) [1] agreed very well, whereas those of ring D showed some differences. By comparison with the ¹³CNMR values of several other steroids [2, 3] it was presumed that 1 possessed a 3β -hydroxy- 5α -androstane ring system. The rest of the ¹³CNMR signals [δ74.9] (quaternary), 19.1 (Me), and 179.6 (quaternary)] and the IR spectrum indicated the presence of two hydroxy groups (3500, 3300 cm⁻¹) and a γ -lactone group (1780, 1750 cm⁻¹) and suggested that a α-hydroxy-α-methyl-γlactone moiety was bound to ring D. The molecular formula expected from these data was C22H34O4 and in agreement with this the FDMS of 1 exhibited the molecular ion peak at m/z 362. The location of the lactone was evident from the ¹H NMR spectrum in which the signals at δ 1.52 (3H, s, – Me), 2.06 (1H, d, J = 5.9 Hz, H_1°), and 5.09 (1H, ddd, J = 7.8, 6.2, 3.9 Hz, H_2° -O) were assigned to the protons of the y-lactone moiety. These data support the structure of 3β , 16, 20-trihydroxy- 5α -pregnane-20carboxylic acid 22,16-lactone for 1.

In order to determine the configuration of C-20 and of the D-E ring junction, NOE difference spectra were measured. A strong NOE was observed between 21-Me (1.52 ppm) and 18-Me (0.80 ppm). The irradiations, however, had no effect on H-16 and H-17. This indicated that the H-atoms at C-16 and C-17 were in the α -configuration, and that C-20 had S-configuration.

Acetylation of 1 with acetic anhydride/pyridine at room temperature afforded a monoacetate (2) and a diacetate (3). The spectral and physicochemical data of 2 and 3 completely agree with those of the mono and diacetate

derived from (20S)-hydroxytigogenin acetate with concentrated HNO₃ [4]. Hence, 1 was confirmed to be (20S)- 3β ,16 β ,20-trihydroxy- 5α -pregnane-20-carboxylic acid 22, 16-lactone.

The occurrence of three other lactones of this type has been reported in *Solanum* species; solanolide (5) from *S. hispidum* [5], vespertilin (6) [6] and 20S-hydroxyvespertilin (7) [4] from *S. vespertilio*.

Table 1. ¹³C NMR chemical shifts of lycopersiconolide (1) (67.8 MHz, CD₃OD-CDCl₃ (1:1), TMS as internal standard)

Carbon No.	Chemical shift, 1	
1	37.6	_
2	31.4	
3	71.2	
4	38.1	
5	45.4	
6	29.1	
7	32.2	
8	35.2	
9	54.7	
10	36.1	
11	20.8	
12	39.3	
13	41.1	
14	56.4	
15	32.5	
16	83.5	
17	64.2	
18	14.0	
19	12.6	
20	74.9	
21	19.1	
22	179.6	

^{*}Author to whom all correspondence should be addressed.

1
$$R^1 = R^2 = H$$

2 $R^1 = Ac$, $R^2 = H$

$$3 R^1 = R^2 = Ac$$

6
$$R^1 = H$$
, $R^2 = Me$
7 $R^1 = Me$, $R^2 = OH$

EXPERIMENTAL

Isolation. Fresh roots (7.5 kg) taken from Taibyo Sinko No. 1 tomato plants grown at the Agricultural Experimental Farm of Hokkaido University, were finely cut and extracted with 70% EtOH (55 l). The extract was filtered and concentrated to 0.5 l

under reduced pressure below 40°. The aq. residue was diluted with H₂O to 11 and extracted with Et₂O (3×11). The Et₂O extracts were bulked, dried (Na2SO4), and the solvent removed in vacuo. The residue (10 g) was subjected to CC on silica gel and eluted with CHCl₃, CHCl₃-MeOH (19:1), (9:1), (4:1), (7:3) and MeOH to yield 13 fractions [monitoring with UV (280 nm)]. The 7th fraction eluted with CHCl₃-MeOH (19:1) was further chromatographed on a silica gel column with CHCl3-MeOH (99:1). Compound 1 (50 mg) was obtained as colourless needles from CHCl₃-MeOH. Mp 295-297°; $[\alpha]_D^{20} - 48^\circ$ (MeOH; c 0.088); FDMS m/z (rel. int.): 362 [M]⁺ (5), 361 [M - H]⁺ (9), $360 [M-2H]^+$ (14), 346 (15), 318 (100); EIMS m/z (rel. int.): 360 $[M-2H]^+$ (0.4), 342 $[360-H_2O]^+$ (0.7), 329 (1), 318 [M] $-CO_2$] + (3), 303 (41), 273 (4), 257 (6), 138 (11), 107 (61), 84 (100); IR $v_{\text{max}}^{\text{KBr}}$ cm⁻¹: 3500, 3300, 1780, 1750; ¹H NMR [500 MHz, $CD_3OD-CDCl_3$ (1:1), TMS]; $\delta 0.80$ (3H, s, H₃-18), 0.84 (3H, s, H_3 -19), 1.52 (3H, s, H_3 -21), 2.06 (1H, d, J = 5.9 Hz, H-17), 2.23 $(1H, m, H-15\alpha)$, 3.54 (1H, m, H-3), 5.09 (1H, ddd, J = 7.8, 6.2,3.9 Hz, H-16).

Monoacetate (2) and diacetate (3) of 1. A soln of 1 (18 mg) in Ac₂O (0.5 ml) and C₅H₅N (0.5 ml) was kept at room temp. for 12 hr. CC of the residue on silica gel developed with CHCl₃ gave a monoacetate (2, 15 mg) and a diacetate (3, 4 mg) Monoacetate (2), mp 280-282°, lit. 282-284° [4], needles (CHCl₃-n-Hexane); $[a]_D^{30} - 58^{\circ}$ (CHCl₃; c 0.24), lit. -54° (CHCl₃) [4]; FDMS m/z (rel. int.): 405 [MH] * (15), 360 (35), 344 (100); EIMS m/z (rel. int.): 404 [M]⁺ (0.07), 386 [M-H₂O]⁺ (0.9), 360 (2), 345 (28), 285 (6), 257 (6), 217 (11), 107 (29), 84 (100), 43 (94); $IR \nu_{max}^{KBr} cm^{-1}$: 3450, 1780 (shoulder), 1760, 1740, 1240; ¹H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS): $\delta 0.80$ (3H, s, H₃-18), 0.83 (3H, s, H₃-19), 1.57 (3H, s, H₃-21), 2.02 (3H, s, H_3 -Ac), 2.08 (1H, d, J = 6.2 Hz, H-17), 2.23 (1H, $m, H-15\alpha$), 4.68 (1H, m, H-3), 5.07 (1H, $ddd, J = 7.8, 6.2, 3.9 Hz, H-15\alpha$) 16). Diacetate (3), mp 270-272°, lit. 261-262° [4], needles $(CHCl_3-n-Hexane); [\alpha]_D^{20}-27^\circ (CHCl_3; c 0.11), lit. -26^\circ$ $(CHCl_3)$ [4]; FDMS m/z (rel. int.): 446 [M]⁺ (22), 386 (100); EIMS m/z (rel. int.): 446 [M]⁺ (0.1), 404 (0.5), 386 (23), 360 (22), 345 (65), 311 (8), 285 (10), 257 (13), 216 (19), 107 (31), 84 (32), 43 (100); IR $\nu_{\text{max}}^{\text{KBr}}$ cm⁻¹: 1790, 1740, 1730, 1250, 1220; ¹H NMR $(270 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$: $\delta 0.80 (3H, s, H_3-18), 0.83 (3H, s, H_3-19), 1.79$ $(3H, s, H_3-21), 2.02 (3H, s, H_3-Ac), 2.04 (3H, s, H_3-Ac), 2.23 (1H, m,$ $H-15\alpha$), 2.70 (1H, d, J=6.2 Hz, H-17), 4.68 (1H, m, H-3), 4.95 (1H. ddd, J = 7.8, 6.2, 3.9 Hz, H-16).

Acknowledgement—We are indebted to the Agricultural Experimental Farm of Hokkaido University for supplying us with the plant materials.

REFERENCES

- Radeglia, R., Adam, G. and Ripperger, H. (1977) Tetrahedron Letters 903.
- 2. Blunt, J. W. and Stothers, J. B. (1977) Org. Magn. Reson. 9, 439.
- Agrawal, P. K., Jain, D. C., Gupta, R. K. and Thakur, R. S. (1985) Phytochemistry 24, 2479.
- González, A. G., Freire, R., Francisco, C. G., Salazar, J. A. and Suárez, E. (1973) Tetrahedron 29, 1731.
- Chakravarty, A. K., Das, B. and Pakrashi, S. C. (1982) Phytochemistry 21, 2083.
- González, A. G., Francisco, C. G., Barreira, R. F. and Lopez, E.
 S. (1971) An. Quím. 67, 433; Chem. Abst. 75, 115890n (1971).